

---

# *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE ARTS AND COMMERCE*

---

## **COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF BIODIESEL AND PETROLEUM DIESEL**

**Muhammad F. Yahaya, Isioma Nwadike, and Linus N. Okoro.**

Department of Petroleum Chemistry and Engineering, American University of Nigeria, Lamido  
Zubairu Way, P.M.B 2250 Yola Bypass, Adamawa State, Nigeria.

Correspondence E-mail: demshemino.innocent@aun.edu.ng Phone: +234805538671

---

### **Abstract**

*The concerns on climate change, the high energy prices and the dwindling oil reserves and supplies have necessitated a strong interest in the research for alternative fuel sources. Biodiesel is an alternative renewable fuel that has gained massive attention in recent years. Studies on the physical properties of biodiesel have shown that it is completely miscible with petroleum diesel. Since the combustion of biodiesel emits particulate matter and gases which is lower than Petro diesel, combustion of biodiesel and biodiesel blends have shown a significant reduction in particulate matter and exhaust emissions. In this review paper, the use of pure biodiesel or biodiesel blends in terms of performance and exhaust emissions has been studied in comparison to petroleum diesel.*

**Keywords:** biodiesel, transesterification, performance, exhaust emissions, Petro diesel.

### **1.1 Introduction**

As the population increases daily, the demand for energy to meet different lifestyle requirements increase as well. Consequently, the main concern has been on the use of various energy sources. Non-renewables such as fossil fuels like coal, wood, oil, and gas, are likely to be exhausted in the near future since they are nonrenewable as the name implies. These sources of energy cannot be renewed or reused. Alternative fuels from domestic sources are emerging as a solution to the declining reserves of fossil fuels, and the environmental unfriendliness resulting from the combustion of fossil fuels. The fuel that is consumed the most in Europe is biodiesel, a renewable mono-alkyl ester that is produced from vegetable oils, by a transesterification reaction. The use of biodiesel in Europe from 2007 to 2010 represented a share of more than 80% of the transportation biofuel consumption, and 30.26% of the total road transportation fuels

consumption in 2010. (Serrano, Carreira, Carama, and Gameiro,2012). When fossil fuels are burned, a lot of carbon dioxide is released. Carbon dioxide is a gas that absorbs heat and contributes towards the greenhouse effect. Another gas released when fossil fuel is burned is sulfur dioxide which combines with water in the atmosphere to form sulfuric acid. This leads to acid rain which alters the normal pH of soil that supports plant growth. What makes the world today consider the production and use of biofuels on a wide scale is the high level of atmospheric pollution caused by the intense use of fossil fuels leading to the greenhouse effect. It is against this backdrop that fuels namely; biodiesel and petroleum diesel are comparatively analyzed in this review paper.

## **1.2 What is biodiesel?**

Biodiesel is an animal or vegetable oil based diesel fuel that burns without the emission of much soot, carbon IV oxide and particulate matter. (Oliveira and Da Silva, 2013). It consists of long chain mono-alkyl esters and is produced by transesterifying vegetable oil or animal fat. In this process, the animal or vegetable oil is converted into biodiesel when one mole of triglyceride reacts with three (3) moles of alcohol to produce a mole of glycerol and three moles of mono-alkyl esters. Biodiesel like petro-diesel is made of hydrocarbon chains that do not contain sulfur, or aromatics compounds in its composition. It is an alternative fuel that is obtained from renewable resources that burns in diesel engines with less environmental pollutants.

## **1.3 ADVANTAGES OF BIODIESEL**

Biodiesel is a fuel that has gained a lot of public attention because it is environmentally friendly and renewable and is being appreciated all over the world. Among the many advantages of using biodiesel, some are listed as follows: the use of biodiesel is not dangerous to the environment. Petrodiesel-powered vehicles produce a considerable amount of emissions, and unfortunately the smoke these vehicles emit is hazardous to the environment. Biodiesel is agriculture oriented, non-toxic, biodegradable and a renewable fuel. It has a high cetane number (a measurement of the combustion quality of diesel fuel during compression ignition), low sulfur, low volatility and presence of oxygen atoms in the fuel molecule. Another of the advantages of biodiesel fuel is that it can also be blended with other energy resources and oil. Biodiesel development will generate employment opportunity for developing countries, where the level of unemployment is relatively high. Biodiesel will also encourage the development of the agricultural sector. (Aregbe,2010).

## **1.4 DISADVANTAGES OF BIODIESEL FUELS**

Although biodiesel has gained much scientific attention in recent years, it is not without some few disadvantages. One of the problems encountered when using biodiesel is the increase in nitrogen oxides emissions which can result in the formation of smog and acid rain. Similarly, biodiesel when compared to petro-diesel have a lower energy output. In order to produce the same amount of energy, more biodiesel is required than petro-diesel. Also, the use of valuable

cropland to grow biodiesel crops could result to a rise in cost of food and furthermore leads to food scarcity (Aregbe, 2010).

### **1.5 What is petrodiesel?**

Petroleum diesel, also called petro-diesel, or fossil diesel is produced when crude oil undergoes fractional distillation between the temperatures of 2000C and 3500C at atmospheric pressure, to produce a mixture of carbon chains that contains between 8 and 21 carbon atoms per molecule. Petroleum diesel is a fuel that is used to operate diesel engine-internal combustion engine. Most commonly, it refers to a specific liquid fuel obtained by the fractional distillation of petroleum, often called petro-diesel (Nikitchenko, n.d).

## **2.1 Comparisons of Biodiesel and Petro-diesel**

### **2.2 Performance Comparative Analysis:**

The performance and emission features of compression ignition engines depends on the inner nozzle flow and spray performance. Inner nozzle flow and spray performance in an engine, controls the air fuel mixing, which is necessary for the process of combustion. Because of differences in the physical properties of biodiesel and petro-diesel, the inner nozzle flow and spray structure are expected to be significantly altered and, consequently the performance and emission features of the diesel engine. (Som, Longman, Ramirez and Aggarwal, 2010). Som et al (2010) in their research paper showed that because of lower vapor pressure of biodiesel, it was observed to cavitate less than petro-diesel. A reduction in injection velocity and loss of flow efficiency was also observed because biodiesel viscosity is higher.

In a review paper by Fallahipanah, Ghazavi, Hashemi, and Shahmirzaei (2011), the performance of biodiesel in an engine which completes a specific cycle was investigated. The paper analyzed biodiesel fuel and its compounds using thermodynamics laws as well as finite time thermodynamics. Their results showed that when biodiesel is applied as a fuel in the engine, similar results was obtained and in some cases even better results was obtained over petro-diesel fuel. From the cycle work shown in figure 1, higher energy output can be achieved at higher compression ratios. Cumali, Selman, Rasim, and Huseyin (2011), experimented on a diesel engine using biodiesel fuel produced from sunflower oil with petro-diesel. They found that using biodiesel in comparison to using petro-diesel increased the brake specific consumption but a decrease in pollutants such as particulate matter and carbon monoxide. The poor lubricity of petro-diesel fuel has led to the failure of engine parts such as fuel injectors and pumps, because these parts are lubricated by the fuel itself. It was also reported that neat biodiesel possesses inherently greater lubricity than petro-diesel, especially low sulfur petro-diesel, and that adding

biodiesel at low blend levels (1%-2%) to low-sulfur petro-diesel restores lubricity to the latter. (Goodrum and Geller 2005).

In a research paper by Knothe and Steidley (2005), it was reported that biodiesel has a better lubricity than petro-diesel hydrocarbons, because of the polarity that is introduced with the presence of oxygen atoms which is lacking in petro-diesel. Lubricity it was reported improves with the chain length and the presence of double bonds. One major technical advantages of biodiesel over petro- diesel is lubricity (Knothe et al, 2005). Brake thermal efficiency (BTE) is defined as the ratio between power output and energy introduced through fuel injection (Christopher, Hillary, and Najeem, 2011). In a research conducted by Reddy, Shiva, and Apparao.(2010), on comparing the brake thermal efficiency of diesel fuel and cotton seed methyl ester (biodiesel) blends; it was found that the brake thermal efficiency is always found to be lower with biodiesel blends as compared with petro-diesel. The result from the experiment conducted by Christopher et al(2010) also showed that blending biodiesel with petro-diesel decreases the brake thermal efficiency (BTE) and on the other hand increases the brake specific fuel consumption (BSFC) which is defined as the rate of fuel consumption divided by the power produced. The BSFC of biodiesel and biodiesel blends they found to be higher in comparison to that of petro-diesel. This can be as a result of the lower viscosity, density and higher heating value of the petro-diesel.

The bar chart in Figure 2 of BTE % against fuel blends reveals that blends of biodiesel and petrodiesel fuels decrease the brake thermal efficiency (BTE). The BTE of biodiesel and its blend is lower than compared to petro-diesel. From the information in Figure 3, it can be concluded that the brake specific fuel consumption (BSFC) increased with the increase in the ratio of biodiesel in the blends. In another work, indirect injection diesel engine was studied with petrodiesel and 100% biodiesel at various fuel injection pressures by Kumar, Ramesh, and Sahoo.(2012). It was observed that at 100% load, brake thermal efficiency of biodiesel increases as the fuel injection pressure is increased keeping advance angle of fuel injection constant, whereas for petro-diesel, the brake thermal efficiency decreases under the same conditions.

### **2.3 Emission Comparative Analysis:**

The environmental impact of biodiesel depends on several factors which are; the raw materials from which the biodiesel was produced, different production processes and the final use can determine the environmental balance of biodiesel introduction (Nanaki and Koroneos. 2012). Replacing biodiesel with petro-diesel fuel can produce environmental advantages as well as disadvantages. Prominent among the advantages that biodiesel has over petro-diesel is that biodiesel has the potential of reducing most exhaust emissions that have regulations, excluding nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>). Knothe, Sharp, and Ryan. (2006), in their work used a heavy-duty 2003 six-cylinder 14 L diesel engine with exhaust gas recirculation to analyze, neat methyl laurate, neat methyl palmitate, and technical grade methyl oleate, fore exhaust emissions. The three fatty acid methyl esters, was compared with pure dodecane and hexadecane, and pure biodiesel

sample as well as petro-diesel with low sulfur content. All fuels were analyzed and tested for exhaust emissions. Emissions of particulate matter were found to decrease to about 77% and 73% for biodiesel and methyl oleate respectively. Similarly, the reduction in particulate matter emissions for methyl laurate and methyl palmitate was even greater, 83% and 82% respectively in comparison to petro-diesel. An increase of about 12% NO<sub>x</sub> emissions was observed for biodiesel, while an increase of about 6% was observed for methyl oleate, but methyl palmitate and methyl laurate particulate matter emission was reduced by about 4-5% relative to those of the base fuel (Knothe et al, 2006). Overall, biodiesel fuel and the fatty compounds considerably reduced particulate matter

emissions by 75%-83% when compared to the petro-diesel base fuel, whereas the two hydrocarbon components found in petro-diesel; dodecane and hexadecane reduced particulate matter emission by only 45-50%. Similarly, in a research by Rubianto, Yuwono, and Soemarno. (2013), they found that biodiesel has a contribution to reduce particulate emission from combustion on a boiler burner with reduction of 29.796%. This percentage of reduction is significant to decrease air pollution, creating a better and healthier environment.

Table 1 showed that blending biodiesel with petro-diesel at various ratios, leads to a decrease in the amount of particulate matter being emitted as the amount of biodiesel is increased in the blend from B0 to B10. From B15 to B30 there is a significant decrease in the amount of particulate matter emitted. The graph in figure 4 shows the emission of particulate matter at different percentages of biodiesel amount in the blends. In a review paper by Rashid (2011), he reported that in an assessment of the environmental hazards caused by the use of fossil fuels, biodiesel is being considered to be the best fuel for diesel engines since burning biodiesel and its blends has the lowest Green House Emissions on a life cycle basis. The emission of carbon monoxide gas is reduced by using biodiesel as a fuel. Carbon monoxide gas is a toxic byproduct of all hydrocarbon combustion. The use of biodiesel in blends, or its pure form reduces emissions such as; particulate matter, visible smoke, odor, and polyaromatic hydrocarbon emissions. His review paper also showed that particulate soot emissions which have adverse health effect in terms of respiratory impairment and related illness is significantly reduced with the use of biodiesel or its blends. Furthermore, biodiesel does not contain undesirable element like sulphur as compared to petrodiesel, which may have Sulphur content. The review showed that biodiesel is a fuel that is clean and environment friendly, which can supplement or replace petro-diesel as a fuel in the future (Rashid 2011).

### **3.0 Conclusion**

Biodiesel is a renewable alternative fuel that can be used in a diesel engine either pure or in blends with petroleum diesel. It has the potential of replacing petroleum diesel in the future, or

being used in blends with petroleum diesel to improve performance and reduce toxic exhaust emissions. In terms of environmental assessment and renewability, biodiesel has a contribution in reducing particulate matter emission, reduced emission of greenhouse gases, and decrease in air pollution. Biodiesel when applied as a fuel in a diesel engine works like petro-diesel or in some cases even gives better results compared to petro-diesel fuel.

We have reviewed past research works comparing performance and exhaust emission characteristics of biodiesel and petro-diesel from different sources. Because of the growing concern for a clean and healthy environment, most modern research has been centered on reducing the toxic exhaust emissions generated from burning petroleum and improving the performance of petro-diesel through blending with biodiesel.

## **REFERENCES:**

Aregbe O. A. Biodiesel an Alternative fuel for a Cleaner Environment as Compared to Petroleum Diesel. American University of Nigeria Library, TP 359.B46 A231 2010.

Christopher C. E., Hilary L. R., Najeem. P. (2011). Performance Evaluation of a Diesel Engine Fueled with Methyl Ester of Shea Butter. World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, 55, 142-146.

Cumali. I., Selman. A., Rasim. B., Hüseyin. A. (2011). Biodiesel from safflower oil and its application in a diesel engine. Fuel Processing Technology, 92:356–362.

Fallahipanah. M., Ghazavi. M.A., Hashemi. M., Shahmirzaei. H. (2011). Comparison of the Performances of Biodiesel, Diesel, and Their Compound in Diesel Air Standard Irreversible Cycles. International Conference on Environmental and Agriculture Engineering, 15, 7-13.

Goodrum. J. W., Geller, D. P. (2005). Influence of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters from Hydroxylated Vegetable Oils on Diesel Fuel Lubricity. Bioresour. Technology, 96, 851-855.

Knothe. G., Steidley. K. R. (2005). Lubricity of Components of Biodiesel and Petrodiesel.

The Origin of Biodiesel Lubricity. Energy & Fuels, 19, 1192-1200.

Knothe. G., Sharp. C. A., Ryan. T. W. (2006). Exhaust Emissions of Biodiesel, Petrodiesel, Neat Methyl Esters, and Alkanes in a New Technology Engine. Energy & Fuels, 20, 403- 408.

Kumar. P. S., Ramesh. K. D., Sahoo. P. K. (2012). Experimental Comparative Study between Performance and Emissions of Jatropha Biodiesel and Diesel under Varying Injection Pressures. International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Emerging Technologies, 3, 98- 112.

Nanaki. E. A., & Koroneos. C. J. (2012). Comparative LCA of the use of Biodiesel, Diesel and gasoline for transportation. Journal of Cleaner Production, 20, 14-19.

Nikitchenko. J. (n.d). Comparative Analysis of Biodiesel and Mineral Diesel Fuel In Case of Use for Urban Buses. Department of Chemmotology, National Aviation University, Kiev. Available: <http://rep.bntu.by/bitstream/data/1580/4/257-265.pdf>.

Oliveira L. E., Da Silva M. L. C. P. (2013). Comparative study of calorific value of rapeseed, soybean, jatropha curcas and crambe biodiesel. *Renewable Energy and Power Quality Journal*, 11, 1-4

Rashid Ali et al. (2011). Biodiesel a Renewable Alternate Clean and Environment Friendly Fuel for Petrodiesel Engines. *International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 3, (10), 7707-7713.

Reddy. A.V.K, M., Shiva. S., Apparao. K. (2010). Experimental Determination of Brake Thermal Efficiency and Brake Specific Fuel Consumption of Diesel Engine Fuelled with Biodiesel. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 2 (5), 305-309.

Rubianto. L., Yuwono. S. S., Soemarno. A. (2013). Comparison of Biodiesel and Petrodiesel Particulate Emission. *The International Journal of Engineering and Science*, 2, 66-69.

Serrano. L., Carreira. V., Carama. R.,& Gameiro da Silva. M. (2012).On-road performance comparison of two identical cars consuming petrodiesel and biodiesel. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 103, 125-133.

Som. S., Longman. D. E., Ramirez. A.I., & Aggarwal. S.K.(2010). A comparison of injector flow and spray characteristics of biodiesel with petrodiesel. *Fuel*, 89, 4014-4024.